

David Corney: I lead the natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) work at Full Fact, the UK's leading fact checking charity. Full Fact monitors and checks statements made by politicians and other public figures, and also campaigns for improvements to public debate. I help develop tools to assist fact checkers to: find claims worth checking; see if a previously checked claim has been repeated anywhere; and automatically validate claims. Full Fact also works closely with Africa Check who use our tools to find and monitor claims.

- What kind of biases might our algorithms show? What are the implications of bias and how can we detect / correct it?
- When an algorithm recommends a particular claim should be checked, who is responsible? The journalist? The developer? The algorithm itself?
- Many NLP (natural language processing) tools work best in English - what (if anything) should we do about this?
- Is developing AI tools the best use of limited resources? Shouldn't fact checking orgs employ more fact checkers and fewer developers?
- Could any tools we create be mis-used for unethical purposes?